UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

- - - - - - - - X

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA : 09-CR-405

-against- US District Court

Central Islip, NY

FREDERICK CELANI,

Defendant.: October 16, 2009

----X 3:30 pm

TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS
BEFORE THE HONORABLE ARTHUR D. SPATT
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

APPEARANCES:

For the Government:

BENTON J. CAMPBELL United States Attorney One Pierrepont Plaza Brooklyn, New York 11201 By: RICHARD LUNGER, ESQ. United States Attorney

For the Defense: JAMES NEVILLE, ESQ.

Also Present: MATTHEW GALIOTO - FBI

Court Reporter: Dominick M. Tursi, CM, CSR

US District Courthouse

1180 Federal Plaza

Central Islip, New York 11722 (631) 712-6108 Fax: 712-6124

DomTursi@email.com

Proceedings recorded by mechanical stenography.
Transcript produced by CAT.

(Call to Order of the Court. Appearances stated as indicated above.)

up.

THE COURT: What is happening here, Mr. Lunger?

MR. LUNGER: Your Honor, prior to the last
status conference, the government wrote to Mr. Neville and
told him that we have available to turn over a compact
disk which contains a number of documents that had been
seized from the offices of Rainmaker, which was the
company that the government alleges the defendant headed

That disk is ready to be turned over. It has been for over a month now. But one of the things we have asked the defendant to do is to sign a stipulated protective order.

And the reason we have done that is, there are certain confidential information of victims in these documents, things like addresses, social security numbers, and the like, which we obviously don't want going into the prison facility. I believe the defendant is in MDC right now.

I have spoken to Mr. Neville just a few minutes ago and he has told me the defendant is not willing to sign this order. So the government proposes that it be submitted to your Honor for consideration, and the defendant can make any objections he wants to it but that

it can be adjudicated along those lines.

Secondly, at the last status conference I advised the court that the government, specifically the FBI, was in the process of indexing computer data that was contained on 11 hard drives, again that had been seized from the Rainmaker premises. My understanding is that three out of those 11 hard drives have now been indexed in a manner that can be searched.

Before they are turned over to the defense, two things need to happen. One, we have an analyst at the FBI who has been walled off from the case whose job it will be to go through those hard drives to make sure there is no attorney-client privileged information on them.

The court may recall, last time we were concerned that at least two of the hard drives seized may have been used by practicing attorneys. So what is going to happen is, next week the analyst is going to start going through these three hard drives to determine whether there is any attorney-client privileged information. If there isn't, they will be turned over to the defense. And again, we would like these hard drives to be subject to the protective order.

So as a preliminary matter, we believe that the court would need to consider whether a protective order is appropriate in the first instance in this case.

THE COURT: What is the nature of the charge in the case?

MR. LUNGER: The charge is, it is a Ponzi scheme, your Honor, that is being alleged. So it is a one-count indictment at this point alleging a wire fraud conspiracy.

THE COURT: Mr. Neville?

MR. NEVILLE: Your Honor, first, in terms of the protective order.

My client respectfully takes the position that there is no secret to any of the information that was seized from this location, the search by the government, and that most respectfully our position is we have a right to examine all of the materials that were present in this office.

Mr. Celani was working in this office, was spending many hours in that office, and was in fact privy, either explicitly or certainly potentially privy, to everything, all materials that were in that office.

So it makes no sense that now, when Mr. Celani is in a position to defend himself from these serious charges, that the government place an obstacle so that we cannot examine all of the materials and pick and choose what we believe potentially could be material that we could use for Mr. Celani's defense.

Secondly, the hard drives that Mr. Lunger has explained to the court that are being prepared by an FBI analyst, as Mr. Lunger said there is an FBI analyst who is, as Mr. Lunger stated, walled off from this case where that analyst is examining for possible attorney-client privileged information, that Mr. Lunger says there may have been practicing lawyers working in that office.

In fact there were practicing lawyers in that office, and Mr. Celani again was privy to everything that was going on in the location. So it makes no sense, we state respectfully, that we now be prevented from seeing everything that Mr. Celani was seeing and could have been seeing prior to his arrest.

So we state respectfully that this is a mechanism, whether it is intentional or not, but it is a mechanism that prevents us, it is an obstacle that the government is constructing that is preventing Mr. Celani and counsel from properly preparing his defense.

THE COURT: When you say that you are not being given all the material, I didn't hear anything about that. All they say is they want to mark it confidential or under a protective order so that you won't distribute it to the press or to Mr. Celani's family or to the Republican Party or -- who else? -- Rush Limbaugh. That is all they say. They are going to give you all the material.

	6
1	MR. NEVILLE: Then perhaps
2	THE COURT: I think isn't this so
3	MR. LUNGER: Our primary concern, your Honor,
4	is, we don't want the information to go back to MDC. That
5	is our primary concern.
6	The way the protective order is set up is that
7	both the defendant and his lawyer can review it. But at
8	the end of the day, once the defendant is done reviewing
9	the materials, we don't want that privileged information
10	to go back to the jail.
11	THE COURT: But it can go to the attorney.
12	MR. NEVILLE: Absolutely, your Honor.
13	Absolutely. I'm ready to hand him the disk. He can have
14	the disk. Keep it under lock and key in the office.
15	THE COURT: You are going to get all the
16	material. It is not a pick and a choose. You will get
17	everything.
18	MR. NEVILLE: I understand, your Honor. Then it
19	was my misunderstanding.
20	Is it then permissible that I bring materials to
21	the jail to review them with Mr. Celani?
22	THE COURT: Certainly.
23	MR. NEVILLE: Potentially. But then bring them
24	back with me and not leave anything with him.

25

THE COURT: That's right. You can bring it to

the jail.

MR. NEVILLE: That is fine then, your Honor. It was my misunderstanding then.

We are prepared to then sign the protective order.

THE COURT: Okay. What else is happening?

MR. LUNGER: That is essentially the latest,

your Honor.

Like I said, the next step will be to go through these hard drives and as the analyst goes through them, if there is any allegedly privileged information, it will be exported from the hard drive but what remains will go over to the defendant. Anything that is exported, if your Honor so desires we can submit in camera and your Honor can decide whether it is appropriate to be turned over.

I would like nothing more than to be able to hand those hard drives over to Mr. Neville and be done with it. But we are proceeding with caution because we don't know what is on there yet.

THE COURT: Anything that you extract or delete, you will show to me.

MR. LUNGER: Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: And I will decide whether it goes to them or not.

MR. LUNGER: Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: So where are we going from here?

Assume that that happens. How much time do we need now?

Are we near a trial in this case?

MR. LUNGER: I don't think so.

Until all the hard drives are turned over, your Honor -- and I have been told by FBI that it will probably be at least another two or three weeks until all of the hard drives have been indexed, meaning it will take two or three more weeks to get the hard drives in a state where an analyst can sit down and start searching, putting search terms into these hard drives and be able to determine whether there is anything more on them.

So in terms of a trial date, I don't know if we are in a position to set one just yet, your Honor.

THE COURT: What do you say, Mr. Neville?

MR. NEVILLE: Your Honor, I wanted to ask the court on another matter whether the circuit made any contact with the court sending to the court a proposed order.

If your Honor recalls, I think two court dates ago I brought up the idea of having this case budgeted; that I would prepare a budget for the case because of all the discovery; that I had spoken with Mr. Tritz in the Circuit Executive's office, he deals with CJA cases and death penalty cases that need to be budgeted and what they

term makeup cases or cases with a large volume of discovery that they are encouraging judges to potentially order be budgeted from a standpoint of the CJA counsel.

And I spoke with an investigator. Your Honor approved up to \$2,500 for an investigator. But I spoke with a law student or a paralegal or an associate attorney to work with me on the case. And your Honor asked how much that would be, how much those people would be paid, et cetera. I spoke with Mr. Tritz approximately two weeks ago and he informed me that he would be sending to the court a proposed order and that proposed order would lay out or outline all of the potential expenses in the case.

I just wondered if the court had received that proposed order.

THE COURT: Not that I know of. I did not receive it. You better call Mr. Tritz and tell him to send it right away because I will sign such an order giving you the right to have assistance.

MR. NEVILLE: Thank you, your Honor.

Finally, your Honor, I wanted to just thank the court. Mr. Celani thanks the court for being moved from Nassau County to the MDC, in Brooklyn, where Mr. Celani is able to access the law library. However, respectfully, Mr. Celani is asking the court to intervene with the MDC, to contact the MDC indicating that Mr. Celani needs more

time in the law library there.

I will remind the court. The court may remember that Mr. Celani, in addition to this case, this criminal matter, that he is interested in participating vigorously in his defense. If you recall, there was a time when Mr. Celani was making application to represent himself in this case. And he has agreed to have me represent him but he still is very much involved in the preparation of his defense.

But additionally, your Honor, Mr. Celani has at least two civil matters that he is representing himself on. There is a matter where the Securities and Exchange Commission sued Mr. Celani. And also Mr. Celani is a plaintiff in another civil lawsuit in this courthouse, I think before Judge Bianco.

So Mr. Celani is respectfully requesting that this court would consider contacting the education department at the MDC where Mr. Celani was told that if the court contacts the education department and understands from the court that Mr. Celani needs more time in the law library, that the education department will approve Mr. Celani getting more time in the law library for his various cases.

THE COURT: Well, you send me a letter telling me what time he gets now and how much he wants. I will

1	11 review that and if I think it is advisable, I will so
2	order it.
3	MR. NEVILLE: Thank you, your Honor.
4	THE COURT: But of course you know it is only a
5	recommendation.
6	MR. NEVILLE: Yes, your Honor.
7	THE COURT: It is up to the Bureau of Prisons
8	what they want to do in their facility.
9	MR. NEVILLE: That is what the MDC is looking
10	for, some kind of input from the court that the court
11	would approve.
12	THE COURT: You sent me a letter requesting
13	that.
14	MR. NEVILLE: Thank you.
15	THE COURT: Anything else, Mr. Neville?
16	MR. NEVILLE: Nothing further. Thank you, your
17	Honor.
18	THE COURT: How much time do you think we should
19	have until the next status conference?
20	MR. LUNGER: Perhaps 45 days, your Honor.
21	MR. NEVILLE: That is fine with us, your Honor.
22	THE COURTROOM DEPUTY: December 4 at 1:30.
23	THE COURT: Friday, December 4, at 1:30?
24	MR. NEVILLE: Fine. Thank you.
25	MR. LUNGER: Fine, your Honor.

12 1 THE COURT: Does your client understand about 2 waiving speedy trial, Mr. Neville? 3 MR. NEVILLE: Yes, he does, your Honor. We will 4 sign the document to waive speedy trial. 5 MR. LUNGER: Your Honor has also designated it a 6 complex case. 7 THE COURT: Notwithstanding that I always like 8 to get a signed waiver and to make a pronouncement. 9 The court notes that the defendant, his counsel, 10 and the prosecutor have signed a waiver of speedy trial 11 And I am signing an order excluding the time from form. 12 today, October 16, 2009, to December 4, 2009, from the 13 operation of the Speedy Trial Act. I do this not only 14 because this is a complex case but with the consent of the 15 defendant, in the interests of justice under the 16 circumstances involving discovery here, and in the public 17 interest of a fair trial. 18 We will see you on December 4.

MR. LUNGER: Thank you, your Honor.

MR. NEVILLE: Thank you, your Honor.

Just for the record, Mr. Celani has prepared I think his signature. Mr. Celani's signature is also necessary for the protective order. So we are prepared to sign that now.

THE COURT: Go ahead.

19

20

21

22

23

24

25